



The RIGHT WRITE-UP

The Republican Club of Comal County

*A political group of conservative Republican men and women.
Our goal is to inform about current issues and conservative candidates.*

Volume 7, Issue 10

rcocc.org

October, 2022

OUR NEXT MEETING

THE REPUBLICAN CLUB OF COMAL COUNTY

will meet on Tuesday, October 18, at 6:30 p.m. (setup at 5:00, social mixer at 5:30)
at the First Protestant Church of New Braunfels, 172 W. Coll Street
(enter the parking lot by the Civic Center from Coll St.)

The refreshment theme for October is “Vote Toberfest.”


Members and guests should bring German-themed food, sandwiches, salads, soups, and desserts to share. The Club will provide utensils water, tea, and coffee.

We encourage members to arrive early. This will allow time to pay dues, greet friends and guests, and partake of refreshments before the meeting starts.

Please share this meeting announcement with friends and family.

As we grow in numbers, our influence grows with our elected officials, and they will respond to our calls on legislative priorities.

Questions? Call or email our President, Al Highfield, at 830-255-1367 or at Albert.Highfield@yahoo.com.



Our guest speaker will be

Stacie Matson,

**the Regional Election Integrity Director
for the Texas Republican Party.**

Her topic will be Election Integrity.

Please join us for this timely and informative talk.

(For more information about Stacie, see page 6.)

**Also, this is the last call for Poll Watcher Training.
It will be conducted on Thursday, October 20, from 3:00-5:00 p.m.
at the Republican Party Headquarters, 265 Landa Street, N.B.
RSVP to Kerry Ledford, kledfordtx@gmail.com, or 210-656-1873.**

A Message from our Club President

Good day, PATRIOTS! It's a great day to live in a country this free, and in about three weeks we will decide our new houses of Congress (Senate and House of Representatives). Let's get out and vote to take back our government and our country!

My message this month is about values and respect. A couple of weeks ago I was driving to New Braunfels on Highway 46 and from the opposite direction was met by a funeral procession. I respectfully pulled off to the right and removed my hat. Only two or three other vehicles stopped! Many others kept speeding by us! No respect at all for the funeral procession, which was rather long. Police were escorting the procession with lights flashing. The values and respect in our world, country, and communities have been diminished by the radical beliefs. Today, start and help bring back our honor, respect, and values!

This newsletter and lots of other good Club information can be found at rccc.org. Also, there are lots of photos, videos, and events posted. Daily we must pray that God saves us from total destruction of our world. As always, my email and phone number are listed on the front of the Newsletter and on our website, rccc.org.

President Albert F. Highfield

“Ask me what You can do to get involved!”

OUR PATRON PROGRAM

The Republican Club of Comal County is thankful for those who have contributed to our Club through the Patron Program during 2021 and 2022.

Robbie Borchers	Don Hensz	Reeves Moore	Missy Smith
James (Hoss) Boyd	Ginny Hensz	Thomas Morrissey	Joy Streater
Glenn Breitung	Bob Hieronymus	Charles Motz	Lynellen Sullivan
Mary Breitung	Albert Highfield	Gina Motz	Charlotte Swoboda
John Brewer	Vicky Highfield	Jeffrey Narvaiz	Shelby Trial
Joe Carroll	Marty Hiles	Naomi Narvaiz	Dib Waldrip
Susan Casey	John Hobson	Sandy O'Brien	John Walker
Carter Casteel	Karen Hobson	Ruth Pharis	Susan Walker
Ruth Chambers	Don Johnson	Kathy Phillips	Charmaine Wilde
William Cooley	Patty Kirk-Trimble	Robert Phillips	Diana Williamson
Mark Delaney	John Kucewicz	Sue Piner	Jim Wilson
Karen Diver	Sharon Kucewicz	Merrie Schmidt	Karen Wilson
Cassie Dyson	Susie Le Canu	Steven Schmidt	Judy Wooten
Judd Earley	Kerry Ledford	Dennis Schumaker	Michael Wooten
Sheila Earley	Al Malmsten	Priscilla Schumaker	Tracie Wright-Reneau
Steve Gallets	W. Lindy Menefee	Maria Seiler	John Young
Scott Haag	Sharon Hall Monge	Patrick Seiler	Karen Young
Tex Hall	Cyndi Montgomery	Jeff Shelton	
Bill Harris	Mark Montgomery	Kaci Sisk	
Sonja Heldt Harris	Mallory Moore	Lindy Sisk	

Patrons donate \$100 per year per person to help us finance our 2022 election strategy. Contact our Secretary, Patty Kirk-Trimble, at 830-483-1416 to become a Patron member or for more information. Patrons receive a special personalized Texas flag name tag.

Our Officers for 2022

President	Al Highfield
Vice President	Dr. Kerry Ledford
Secretary	Patty Kirk-Trimble
Treasurer	John Hobson
Past President	Mary Wohl
County Chair	Sue Piner

Committee Chairs

Membership	Cindi Montgomery
Legislative Affairs	Don Johnson
Campaign Activities	Susan Walker
Hospitality	Lori Mayfield
Parliamentarian	<i>(Currently vacant)</i>
Publicity Chair	Audrey Johnson Scheper
Facebook	<i>(Currently vacant)</i>
Newsletter Editor	Kerry Ledford
Associate Editor	Karen Hobson
Special Events	Donna Lee
Special Projects	Ruth Chambers
Patron Program	Patty Kirk-Trimble
Precinct Whip	Joe Carroll
Web Master	Audrey Johnson Scheper
Sergeant-at-Arms	Marty Hiles

Comal County Precinct Chairs

Why do we publish the Comal County Precinct Chair List in the Republican Club Newsletter each month? Our mission as active Republicans is to support the political process. Each one of us should know what Precinct we live in and who serves as our Precinct Chair.

We should offer our assistance to our Precinct Chair for the elections. We can assist as an Election clerk or Election Judge on Election Day. We can offer to block walk to inform our neighbors of early voting. We can block walk for our chosen candidates. We can offer to take a list of Republicans to call with a reminder of the times and places to vote early. There are a number of ways an individual can help to assure a maximum Republican vote.

Studies show that when people intend to vote, but fail to vote early, a substantial percentage of that vote is lost on Election Day. There is a lot to be done. Please offer your help to your Precinct Chair.

<u>Precinct</u>	<u>Name</u>
County Chair	Sue Piner
Vice Chair	Susan Walker
Recording Secretary	Judy Walker
Treasurer	Don Johnson
101	Sharon Hall Monge
102	Kaci Sisk
103	Lauren Buske
104	J.B. Williamson
105	Ernie Lee
106	Chris Byrd
107	Anita Valdez
108	Albert Highfield
109	Geri Becker
110	Joanne Martin
201	Marty Hiles
203	James "Hoss" Boyd
204	Marlin Brown
205	Steve White
206	Kathy Phillips
207	John Brewer
208	Geri Becker
301	Will Moravits
303	Kenneth Kasper
304	Amanda Ackerman
305	Isabel Ibarra
306	Barbara Harrell
307	Timothy Davis
308	Mary Lou Erben
401	Robbie Borchers
402	Melinda Rapp
403	Carolyn Besselman
404	Teri Taylor
405	Molly Vogt
406	Joe Carroll
407	Susan Walker
408	Don Johnson

Our Mission

The mission of the Republican Club of Comal County is to promote “Faith, Family, and Freedom” by providing information and education about critical political issues, by encouraging active participation in the political process, by supporting conservative Republican nominees, and by fostering loyalty to the Republican Party and its conservative ideals.

The Republican Contract with America, 2022

- 1) A Safe and Law-Abiding America. Crime prevention and punishment is mostly a local and state affair. But the federal government promises to prosecute fully any criminal who crosses state lines or uses interstate communications to commit arson, public destruction, smash-and-grab looting, or general attacks on any federal property within the states.
- 2) Affordable Energy for an Energy-Independent America. Restoration of gas and oil energy independence; reopening of federal lands for new energy leases; fast-tracking natural gas and oil pipelines; encouragement and incentives to mine rare and precious metals inside the United States needed for batteries and new sources of energy.
- 3) A Secure Border. Immediate completion of the border wall. Deportation of all those who crossed illegally between 2017 and 2024 and all criminals convicted of felonies or serious misdemeanors; employer sanctions; an end to catch-and-release; all refugee seekers apply outside the United States; a tax on remittances sent south of the border on those here illegally and on public assistance; the end of the primacy of family considerations in fast-tracking immigration requests, replaced by meritocratic considerations of English facility, skill sets, and education. All immigration would be predicated on legality, diversity, meritocracy, and measured and manageable numbers necessary for assimilation and integration.
- 4) A Sacrosanct Constitution and Preservation of Long-Held Traditions. On record for no changes to the Constitution; no dismantling of the Electoral College; no federalization of states' voting laws; no increase in a nine-justice Supreme Court; no statehood for Washington, DC, and Puerto Rico; no end to the Senate filibuster.
- 5) The Restoration of Election Day. Encouragement to the states to limit mail-in balloting, return to the old notion of absentee balloting as an exception rather than the norm, and cut back on extended/early balloting — with the goal that 60 to 70% of ballots cast are done so on Election Day.
- 6) A "Don't Tread on Me" Foreign Policy. Strong support for the sanctity of allied nations. Deterrence against Russia, China, Iran, North Korea, and other belligerents. A realistic foreign policy of "No better friend, no worse enemy." An end to optional large, on-the-ground military engagements in the Middle East. A return of Pentagon emphasis on battle readiness rather than social justice and woke agendas, with budgets redirected to missile defense and naval and air deterrence.
- 7) Towards a Balanced Budget. Expenditures must match revenues. An update of the Simpson-Bowles National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform or enactment of its recommendations, with the aim of achieving a balanced budget in four years.
- 8) Anti-Trust, Anti-Monopoly Legislation. An end to Silicon Valley's vast monopolies, cartels, and immunity from public-utility regulations.
- 9) Strict Enforcement of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Prohibition of the use of racial bias/advantage/preference in the operations of public local, state, and federal agencies. No federal funds allotted for critical-race-theory indoctrination.
- 10) No Federal Funds for Lawbreakers. An end to federal support of state agencies and private institutions that violate federal statutes and the Bill of Rights, whether sanctuary-city jurisdictions or campuses whose speech and trial codes violate the First, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments. Loss of tax-free status on income from university endowments of more than \$10 billion.

Stacie Matson

The right to vote in a free and fair election is the most crucial civil right; one on which many of the other rights of the American people depend. If this right is hindered in any way, what is left? Samuel Adams believed and declared, "Let each citizen remember at the moment he is offering his vote... that he is executing one of the most solemn trusts in human society for which he is accountable to God and his country."

Stacie became passionate about ensuring honest and fair elections in 2017 when she became an election judge. In 2020, we all witnessed election fraud around the country. With God's provision, Stacie helped start Bexar County Election Integrity with a group of like-minded individuals. Bexar County Election Integrity's mission is to enhance and improve the election process so that all participants are confident in the election results. Continuing today, Stacie, along with 16 other steadfast patriots, sits on the executive board with a resolve to ensure Bexar County has election integrity.

We the People must be proactive in protecting our election laws. Over seven months ago, Election Integrity State Director Melissa Conway approached Stacie and asked her if she would walk along-side her to roll out the Protect the Vote program in Texas. In early March of this year, Stacie was named the Regional Election Integrity Director for the Republican Party of Texas.

Stacie's son, Sam, was vaccine injured at 15 months old. This vaccine injury propelled Stacie to become an activist for Medical Freedom. In addition to co-founding ParentsForHealthChoice.com, Stacie is an active supporter of Texas Values, Alliance for Defending Freedom, Texans for Vaccine Freedom, and the American Center for Law and Justice.

Stacie has been married to Craig for 24 years and they have two children: Aubrey, a 21-year-old senior at Texas A&M, and Sam, a 20-year-old son who lives with Stacie and her husband Craig.

“Coulda Been Worse”

A liberal group called "Coulda Been Worse LLC" is running an anti-Gov. Greg Abbott political TV ad that blames the Governor for the Uvalde shooting, the 2021 winter power-grid failure, and the loss of abortion rights for women. The ad even tries to connect Abbott shutting down the border bridges for greater inspection of drugs and illegal aliens, to the supply chain problems.

However, this is typical of how liberals and leftists play with facts. While the Democrats cannot campaign on any positive things they have done, they will attack and be negative.

The truth is this:

The Uvalde shooting was NOT the fault of the guns. It was the result of liberal policies that allow criminals to be bailed out easily and mentally ill people to roam freely. Guns don't kill people. Bad people kill people.

The failed power grid in February 2021 was the result of liberal Green environmentalists who closed power stations and limited power development. Texans need more diverse energy sources and plants. We should not limit the amount produced and it should be from coal, gas, and nuclear, as well as wind and solar.

Women in the U.S. did not lose their right to abortion. States have the right to determine limits on abortion based on their duly elected legislatures' decisions. Nothing stops women in Texas from having an abortion in another state.

The most outrageous and ridiculous criticism in the ad is to blame Abbott for the supply chain disruption for trying to address the open border crisis caused by the Biden Administration. The only supply chain Abbott meant to disrupt was the flow of illegal aliens and deadly drugs caused by Democrats and the Biden border crisis.

Democrats can try to spin the facts, but the truth is that before Biden and the Democrats took over the government, energy and gasoline were cheaper, the nation's economy was the best in history, crime was down, and the border was secure. Those are the facts.

Democrats can play word games, but they can't hide the truth.

George Rodriguez, El Conservador

Candidates supported by the Republican Club of Comal County

Here are the candidates that your dues are helping to support this year:

Commissioner of the General Land Office
Dawn Buckingham
\$250



Justice, Supreme Court, Place 3
Debra Lehrmann - Incumbent
\$200



Justice, Supreme Court, Place 5
Rebecca Huddle - Incumbent
\$200



Justice, Supreme Court, Place 9
Evan Young - Incumbent
\$200



Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 6
Jesse McClure
\$500



State Representative District 73
Carrie Isaac
\$500



Justice, 3rd Court of Appeals, Place 4
Lesli R. Fitzpatrick
\$500



District Judge, 207th Judicial District
Tracie Wright-Reneau
\$200



Justice of the Peace Precinct 4
Ashley R. Evans
\$200



The Membership Drive for 2023 is Here!

Please show your support for the Republican Club of Comal County
by renewing today.

Cindi Montgomery, Membership Director
214-908-7212

'Systemic Racism' Is Systemic Disinformation
Hon. Thaddeus G. McCotter, Human Events, 09/19/2022

These days, wherein college indoctrinated, "woke" commissars run amok erasing the past and renaming and redefining words to "reimagine reality," while it has been a boon to hyperactive etymologists, for the rest of us it has become a fraught endeavor to refer to a dictionary definition of any word, such as say, "woman."

The Left's redefinitions occur as a means of advancing its totalitarian agenda. Should such redefinitions prove insufficient to attain its aim, the Left resorts to censoring a word as "harmful" and/or "hate speech," such as say, "groomer." In either event, by the time one employs a word, the Left has unilaterally refashioned its meaning and demanded the public comply with its new usage (or omission) – or else.

Realizing how continually branding every bit of disliked information as "harmful" and "hate speech" may dull the barb's sting, the Left began declaring disfavored speech as "disinformation." Presently, Merriam-Webster defines "disinformation" as "false information deliberately and often covertly spread (as by the planting of rumors) in order to influence public opinion or obscure the truth." (As an aside, according to the entry the Nazis created disinformation, which would come as a surprise to Vladimir Lenin.) Thus, the objective of vast swaths of disinformation is to become "systemic" – defined as "of, relating to, or common to a system: such as...affecting the body generally" – within the targeted polity.

Presently, in addition to trying to censor what it deems "systemic disinformation" (i.e., speech Left disagrees with), they denounce the United States as "systemically racist" (i.e., any act the Left disagrees with, ineluctably committed by alleged white supremacists). To accommodate this view, as noted above the Merriam-Webster redefined the word "racism" to include the following: "a belief that race is a fundamental determinant of human traits and capacities and that racial differences produce an inherent superiority of a particular race; the systemic oppression of a racial group to the social, economic, and political advantage of another; [and/or] a political or social system founded on racism and designed to execute its principles."

It is this latter definition that was included to accommodate the Left's divisive accusation America is a systemically racist nation. Per the Cambridge Dictionary, "systemic racism" is defined as policies and practices that exist throughout a whole society or organization, and that result in and support a continued unfair advantage to some people and unfair or harmful treatment of others based on race."

Thus, while the United States no longer has any racist laws, the definition allows for "practices" to be deemed racist if they result in "unfair or harmful" treatment of others. One wonders if the dictionary will cut and paste part of their definition of systemic racism into its definition of "white privilege." Regardless, if one doesn't see the convenient subjectivity (for the conniving Left, anyway) in this definition, hold on. It gets worse.

Historically, systemic racism is the second iteration of the slur against Americans. Initially, it was called "institutional racism," but apparently the Left felt that wasn't encompassing enough to indict the entire country. And, just to buttress the calumny, the Left cribbed from Mao's Cultural Revolution to promote their pseudo-social scientific claims of "implicit" and "unconscious" bias, in which a stranger (i.e., the government or the corporation's thought commissars) know you better than you know yourself – or at least of your adherence to Leftist ideological dictates.

Fittingly, their Leftist friends across the pond, the Council of Europe (COE), reared its smugly head to help salt the wounds of a deliberately divided America by chiming in with their succinct definition of "systemic discrimination":

"Systemic discrimination involves the procedures, routines and organisational culture of any organisation that, often without intent, contribute to less favourable outcomes for minority groups than for the majority of the population, from the organisation's policies, programmes, employment, and services." [Emphasis mine; odd spellings theirs.]

(Continued on next page)

(Continued from previous page)

Thus, in the delusional Left's subjective and deceptive assessment, America is a systemically racist nation. The fact it has and continues to make enormous strides to fulfill its foundational promise of liberty and equality for all citizens is ignored. Only the sins of the past are recalled, and then ascribed to the sinners' sons and daughters. Unless one is a favored Leftist constituency, to protest one isn't racist merely confirms one's implicit or unconscious bias and/or "white privilege."

It is quite the rhetorical trick bag, but it cannot mask the fact that the accusation is not based upon evidence, merely presumptions. Stock disclaimer: there is racism in America, and there are incidents in which it manifests itself. But these are exceptions that prove the rule: America is not a systemic nation. As Andrew McCarthy has noted, if this were the case, the lawyers would be busy around the clock suing every institution, corporation, entity, and individual on behalf of clients who had experienced racial bias. Further, there is the irony that, if America is systemically racist, no one would be upset by being called racist. For example, the segregationist, degenerate racist, and Democrat Bull Connor wore being a white supremacist as a badge of honor, as did other supporters of Jim Crow. They have rightly been dumped in the outhouse of history.

Obviously, in casting this accusation without evidence, one can see the Left's aims, none of them new. The DIE cult is really the refashioning of the Left's demands for affirmative action, which had been previously rejected by Americans; and, with equity in particular, an installment plan of "reparations" for slavery and other past societal sins. And, of course, for the Left the siren song remains the same: the uprooting and eradicating of America's political and social institutions and relations in the pursuit of a socialist paradise.

Recall the aforementioned definition of disinformation: "false information deliberately and often covertly spread (as by the planting of rumors) in order to influence public opinion or obscure the truth." The Left's traducing of America as systemically racist is systemic disinformation.

Spreading their big lie, the Left is treating America as the allies did Nazi Germany – imposing collective guilt upon a people for the crimes against humanity that occurred in their nation. (The "white privilege" canard is a textbook attempt to affix collective guilt upon a targeted group.) The goal is to ensure the destruction of that criminal state; and to erect a civilized state in its place.

This is why the systemic disinformation that is the systemic racism lie is pushed to promote Americans' submission to the ideological dictates of the Left's D.I.E. cult's (diversity, inclusion, and equity). The cult is but a Trojan horse of racist identity politics and socialism, all of which seek to replace our God-given, constitutionally recognized and protected rights – including our freedom of conscience. In the Left's fantasy, "liberty, equality, and unity," are replaced with "diversity, inclusion, and equity." Individual rights are subsumed and suffocated within the collective. And our pluralistic free republic is divided and destroyed and devolved into a totalitarian socialist hellscape.

True, some may consider calling the Left "totalitarian" is over the top. Okay. But what do you call an ideology that believes the state can and should "transition" you child without your knowledge or consent; won't let you define what constitutes a "woman"; and demands you believe men can menstruate and give birth – or else? What do you think will happen to your employment and social prospects if you dissent to the Left's systemic disinformation that America is systemically racist? After all, damn near every institution – public and private – and a large swath of the public only believes (or at least cynically pretends to believe) it, they promote the Left's big lie.

In the end, the Left knows that, if it can convince or simply coerce you to acquiesce in such insanity, the fate of our free republic is hangs by a thread, which is why it's honing its "systemic racism" disinformation and sharpening its scissors.

A Human Events contributor, the Hon. Thaddeus G. McCotter (M.C., Ret.) represented Michigan's 11th Congressional district from 2003-2012, and served as Chair of the Republican House Policy Committee. Not a lobbyist, he is a frequent public speaker and moderator for public policy seminars; and a Monday co-host of the "John Batchelor Radio Show," among sundry media appearances.

In Brief: The Immorality of Identity Politics

Daniel Greenfield, Patriot Post, September 14, 2022

Its only liberation is from personal responsibility and conscience.

Daniel Greenfield, a Shillman Journalism Fellow at the Freedom Center, is an investigative journalist and writer focusing on the radical Left and Islamic terrorism.

Identity politics is best understood as a moral caste system. Buying into variants such as critical race theory means accepting that your actions matter less than your race, gender or sexuality. The promised liberation of identity politics imposes the collectivist understanding that nothing you do truly matters outside the constraints of your caste. Who you were born is who you are.

It's difficult to think of a dogma that is more at odds with the philosophical worldview of the Founding Fathers or the Judeo-Christian theology of America. Identity politics violates the political and religious foundations of this country. These ideological assaults are bolstered by the conviction that personal redemption through economic strivings or repentance are conspiracies to fool people into believing that change comes through individualism rather than collectivism.

And yet the damage to the national fabric is almost secondary to the moral damage that identity politics inflicts on individuals. The Judeo-Christian moral revolutions came from the conviction that each individual had free will, personal responsibility and a relationship with his creator. Dispense with individual free will and individual conscience goes with it. In its place are the rioting mobs of Black Lives Matter for whom, like the Nazis, morality is group warfare. The only individual actions that matter are membership in a group whose moral nature is innately coded at birth. To be white is to be a sinner and to be black is to be sinned against.

The essence of identity politics is its conviction that individuals can have moral standing only in relation to their group. Understanding morality without awareness of group membership is the equivalent of atheism for wokes. That is why they are unable to grasp literature whose protagonists lack clear racial or sexual markers. Diversity markers in movies, television and novels are not just elements of political correctness, they are vitally necessary signifiers for a woke population that can only see moral drama through the lens of identity politics.

Identity politics tricks people into believing that nothing they do can influence the moral balance nearly as much as the group into which they were born or were selected. The moral relationship of a murderer and murder victim is secondary to the groups that they belong to. This is not the exciting new idea that wokes think that it is. It's the default state of affairs in most of the world where caste, tribe, clan and family relationships are primary and moral choices are secondary.

A justice system responding to a murder in most of the non-western world is primarily aware of the groups that the victim and the perpetrator belonged to. Morality is not universal, but caste-based. Murder is more wrong in some intersectional power relationships than others. And in some, as with the victims of honor killings, it's downright understandable. Asking, "Who is the victim" is not an attempt to solve a crime, but to measure morality through social status.

Identity politics claims that it's a form of liberation, but liberation begins by taking power from a group and handing it to the individual. Identity politics takes power from the individual and hands it to the group. Identity politics begins by denying individual morality and concludes by denying individual rights. Rather than liberation, it offers total and overwhelming repression.

Cancel culture is not an accidental byproduct of identity politics: it is identity politics. It attacks membership in a group, that of peers, employees, family and friends, students and the larger culture, reinforcing the message that group membership is conditional on abandoning individual views. The members of the lynch mob, individually weak, show the supremacy of collectivism by targeting individuals as components of a mob. The tactics of cancel culture also relay its fundamental message that group membership is superior to individuality. And that those individuals who resist are easily crushed by a mobilized group.

(Continued on next page)

(Continued from previous page)

The act of cancellation is a microcosm of identity politics. The group brutalization escalates from online harassment to mob violence, from ritual humiliation to violent assaults and murder. From anonymous trolls to antifa assaults, the virtual scales to real life. Online hate is a training ground for riots, terrorism and a civil war. What makes all of it possible is the conscious abandonment of individual morality for identity politics. The moral code of identity politics is that there are no universal moral codes that apply equally, only the political death struggle of "us" and "them."

Woke syntax moralizes its amorality by depicting it as "punching up" or "punching down." Intersectionality pretends to draw moral lines by defining who is and isn't fair game based on group membership. What the higher moral logic of identity politics embraced by leftist intellectual elites really means is Shiites against Sunnis, one African tribe against another, a perpetual war of identity until extinction.

Moral exhaustion is part of the appeal of identity politics. The liberation that collectivist movements offer is the freedom from personal responsibility and conscience. In all its tribal ugliness, identity politics offers simple answers with no need for soul searching. To accept critical race theory is to know whether you are good or evil through the simple expedient of glancing in the mirror. You may be on one or the other, but you had little to do with it.

The moral demands of modernity are more exhausting than ever and without religion, the upper middle class westerner is less able to navigate the ethical ambiguities of a changing world. Like all totalitarianism, identity politics offers simple solutions to unsolvable problems. Coming after the wreckage of liberalism, globalism, multiculturalism and all the failed isms of the last century, it offers a return to savagery, the simplicity of the hatred of the other, wrapped in sophistry.

Identity politics is a rebellion against every civilizational development for thousands of years. That is the source of its appeal. This is the grim genocidal vision for which liberals have exchanged the Enlightenment. That is what they want to indoctrinate at every level from kindergarten to the corporate workplace. That is what they want for America.

Bobulinski, the Bidens, and the Corrupt FBI Elections, Douglas Andrews, The Patriot Post, October 5, 2022

If there were any lingering doubts about whether the FBI helped rig the 2020 election for Joe Biden, whistleblower Tony Bobulinski put them to rest yesterday.

The words hung there like a damp shirt: "You can call it rigged. You can call it stolen. You could call it suppressed. The American people can call it whatever they want. But the fact pattern is the FBI alone altered history in that election."

So said Tony Bobulinski, who is likely the most important witness in American history ever to be willfully ignored by what was once the world's finest law enforcement organization.

Bobulinski was Hunter Biden's business partner, and he kept all the receipts. He's the guy, the former U.S. Navy officer, who sat down with the FBI for a five-hour interview on October 23, 2020, and who, as journalist Miranda Devine notes, shared with the bureau the contents of "his three cellphones containing encrypted messages between Hunter and [his uncle] Jim Biden and their business partners, emails, and financial documents detailing the Biden family's influence-peddling operation in foreign countries during Joe's vice presidency."

We first wrote about this patriot — and he is a patriot — just days before the 2020 presidential election. At that time, he'd gone into great detail during a Fox News interview with Tucker Carlson about the events surrounding his two meetings in 2017 with Joe Biden — meetings the Biden campaign and its protectors in both Congress and the mainstream media reflexively and falsely dismissed as "a Russian smear campaign."

More recently — a month ago, in fact — we wrote that the FBI had spiked Bobulinski's story and had colluded with Facebook to suppress it on social media. We know this because Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg publicly admitted as much.

And the FBI man behind that spiking? That would be former FBI Assistant Special Agent in Charge Timothy Thibault (pronounced TEE-bo). He was assigned by the Washington Field Office as "point man" to manage Bobulinski, but he suppressed this whistleblower's damning and bullet-proof allegations.

Fox News's Tucker Carlson, who interviewed Bobulinski just prior to the 2020 election, figured it'd be worth interviewing him again as we approach the two-year anniversary of his bombshell revelations to the New York Post. Here's what Carlson said last night:

Two years later, we still consider it a huge story. Yet, in all of that time, no other major news organization in this country has bothered to follow up. The New York Times never interviewed Tony Bobulinski as part of an investigation into the Biden family business deals, which is strange because he was a partner with the Biden family. But then neither has The Washington Post. Neither have the other television networks. They've ignored it.

The FBI, whose job it is to enforce federal law, did take a lengthy statement from Tony Bobulinski back in 2020 and during that conversation, he outlined several felonies the Bidens had committed and he would know because he saw it and then he provided extensive documentation to prove that it happened.

The agents he spoke to seemed shocked and they promised to get back to Bobulinski for a follow-up interview, but they never did. In fact, the FBI never called Tony Bobulinski again. No one was ever indicted for these crimes. The investigation into them was squelched.

There you have it. Nothing to see here, you Walmart-shopping Trump supporters. Move along.

If you feel betrayed by the FBI, you're not alone. Perhaps worst of all, though, is that Director Christopher Wray has never even seen fit to address this clear-as-day case of election interference. Perhaps, when the Republicans grab away those gavels from House Democrats in January, they'll ask him some tough questions.

Bobulinski also said this: "The difference between President Trump and Joe Biden was 43,000 votes. If half of those people, 21,500, had voted for President Trump instead of Biden, President Trump would still be in the White House."

He's right, of course, and we've made the point over and over and over again. And again. Biden didn't win by a landslide. He won by a razor-thin margin in three states — Arizona, Georgia, and Wisconsin — and polling shows that a whopping 17% of Biden voters would've changed their vote for him if only they'd known about the corruption the FBI and Facebook and Twitter worked to keep from them.

So let's forget about the implausibility of Basement Joe Biden getting more population-adjusted votes than Barack Obama ever got. And let's forget about the nonstarter of rigged Dominion voting machines. Let's even forget about the obvious and irrefutable bulk-mail ballot fraud that Democrats deployed in the big cities of the decisive swing states.

All we need to remember is that the FBI worked with Big Tech to suppress a real and vitally important news story about Joe Biden's corruption and thereby rigged the 2020 presidential election in his favor.

The Big Lie is the mainstream media's ongoing efforts to suppress this truth.

The Decline of Christianity in the U.S.

Faith, Thomas Gallatin, September 19, 2022

Pew Research shows that Christians will be in the minority in the not-so-distant future.

A recent Pew Research Center study estimates based on various scenarios that Christians in America will become a minority in the next two to five decades. Since the 1990s, the study notes, the number of Americans leaving Christianity has been accelerating, as the number of those identifying as nonreligious or "nones" has ballooned over the last couple decades.

Pew reports, "The projections show Christians of all ages shrinking from 64% to between a little more than half (54%) and just above one-third (35%) of all Americans by 2070." Furthermore, "Over that same period, 'nones' would rise from the current 30% to somewhere between 34% and 52% of the U.S. population."

Evaluating a different scenario, Pew notes, "If the pace of switching before the age of 30 were to speed up throughout the projection period without any brakes, Christians would no longer be a majority by 2045."

Of course, Pew is quick to point out that these projections are based upon current trends that could change. That said, the news is disconcerting for Christians, but even more so for our nation.

As all genuine Bible-believing Christians understand, their ultimate home and eternal destination of Heaven is secure, thanks entirely to the work of Jesus — His death and resurrection on their behalf. Yet while the future on this side of Heaven is difficult, a Christian's hope never rests in this world.

The deeper question the Pew research raises may be less obvious, though it should seem quite apparent. If the number of people leaving the faith has been increasing steadily for the past three decades, then the number of genuine Christians must have fallen off long before.

In other words, how many of these "Christians" were nominally so because of their cultural upbringing? If they grew into early adulthood and left the faith, did they ever really believe in the first place? Are we simply witnessing the ripples of a rock that sank long ago?

That said, America, by God's grace, has known times of spiritual revival. And rather than fearing a potential loss of cultural influence, Christians should remind themselves of the Lord's sovereign rule over all of history. This presents an opportunity to shine brightly the good news of the Gospel before a darkening world. Jesus did warn His followers of the opposition and trouble they will face: "I have told you these things, so that in Me you may have peace. In this world you will have trouble. But take heart! I have overcome the world" (John 16:33).

News like this should encourage all Christians to pray for God's mercy on our nation — that He would send another revival and that He would embolden Christians to speak the truth and share the Gospel of salvation. For the power for anyone to change, repent, and believe ultimately comes from God.

Book Burners Against Smut

Emmy Griffin, The Patriot Post, September 16, 2022

"This is America. We don't ban books," says Jill Biden. Except...

First Lady Jill Biden was asked in an interview on Tuesday where she thought the line should be drawn on banning books. "All books should be in the library," she replied. "All books. This is America. We don't ban books." She did not say it hatefully, but she may have said it thoughtlessly. After all, this is a major talking point for her party.

Her statement is patently incorrect. There have always been banned books, even if by simple virtue of exclusion. Other books like *Huckleberry Finn* and *To Kill a Mockingbird* are specifically banned because they use the N-word. The Bible is banned because of "separation of church and state" — which isn't in the Constitution; that phrase is in a letter written by Thomas Jefferson and it does not mean what leftists think it means. Many books are banned or at least not available in the children's section of the library because they contain inappropriate material such as obscenity or sexually explicit content. Frankly, Democrat activists are the ones more prone to banning books than are conservatives. Remember when they canceled Dr. Seuss not too long ago?

Anyway, this whole discussion is a major ongoing battle in the culture wars. And with the advent of "Banned Books Week" (which is September 18-24), it's worth discussing why certain books are banned. But to say, as Jill Biden did, that "we don't ban books" is really a straw man argument meant to provoke conservatives into a response. We suppose it's self-evident that it worked.

The purpose of banning books or keeping them in age-appropriate parts of the library is an essential part of education. It's also a statement of educational, cultural, and academic priorities. What Biden is advocating for is the "freedom" to have all books in the library available to children. The central push of the "anti-book ban" argument is to give children access to books that push the gender identity and critical race theory agendas. And when parents complain or fight back at school board meetings, the Department of Justice labels them "domestic terrorists."

The total allowance of any book in the public or school library is ultimately pretty evil and illegal. Some books, like *Gender Queer*, have explicit sexual material in them that alone break obscenity laws. Yes, we still have obscenity laws in this country, though they are not enforced as they ought to be. Most importantly, parents have every right to influence the standards in their child's education. If public schools don't meet those standards, it should be the parents' right to pull their child out of that school and put them into one that meets their standards and their child's needs. But school choice — though part and parcel of this same argument — is a subject for another time.

At the end of the day, who is Jill Biden to actually make this claim?

After perusing her career in education, astonishingly, she majored in English and specialized in reading intervention. Her students were mainly high school and college students and not the target audience of these horrid books (elementary and middle school).

Who is she to make such ludicrous statements that we should listen to her? Did we elect her? No. And as for Jill Biden's much-ballyhooed doctoral degree, National Review's Kyle Smith accurately points out, "People who are actually smart understand that being in possession of a credential is no proof of intelligence."

Even so, one would think that with a concentration like that, she would be more discerning or tempered in her statements. As an English major, she of all people should be familiar with the distinction between books of quality and books of smut. As a teacher, she should have the instincts to tell the difference between books that are going to enhance a child's education and those that are going to derail it. As a Doctor of Education, she also should be aware of the history of why certain books should be banned. This is not a sincere proclamation, unless she's an idiot — and unfortunately that may also be the case. Hers is a thinly veiled attempt to use the credential of her "academic achievements" as a baton to convince the very public she assumes is ignorant.

Most parents aren't stupid, nor are they okay with the level of degradation, perversion, or indoctrination that these books are expressing. But by all means, "Dr." Jill, keep pushing that narrative. Terry McAuliffe learned that lesson the hard way. Perhaps you will too.

The Left Is Misleading Us on EVs

Regulatory Commissars, Douglas Andrews, Patriot Post, September 14, 2022

There are pros and cons to electric vehicles, just as with everything else.

"It was the coolest vehicle I've ever experienced." That was a colleague's take on the Rivian R1T, a battery-powered truck that he had a chance to drive last weekend. He's an F-150 guy, but this EV got to him. You could hear it in his voice. He was most impressed with the other-worldly acceleration, which, frankly, can't be compared to any truck with an internal combustion engine and a transmission and a transfer case. That, in a nutshell, is the allure of an electric vehicle.

Unless, of course, you believe it's great for the environment and doesn't cost a thing to drive — both of which are lies.

In fact, there's plenty of downside to an EV. It's just that you won't hear any of it from Pete Buttigieg or Gavin Newsom or any of their leftist fellow-travelers, all of whom behave as if they've got stock in all the right car companies. As political analyst Mark Tapscott writes: There are a host of reasons why the Left is absolutely determined to force Americans out of their privately owned, gasoline-powered cars and trucks and into unreliable public transportation and costly Electric Vehicles (EVs), none of which have to do with "saving the environment."

The central reason the Left loves EVs is that the process of forcing Americans to convert to electric-powered transportation will destroy forever the incredible freedom and prosperity associated with privately owned gas-powered vehicles. The future will instead be centrally controlled by rich elitists and their corrupt politicians, power-hungry bureaucrats, and ideologically driven "experts."

Recently, the California Air Resources Board voted to go all in on EVs by phasing out the sales of gas-powered cars in the state by 2035. Knock yourselves out, we say, but good luck getting your state's already notoriously overburdened electrical grid to accommodate that EV pipe dream. (Buy 'em, just don't charge 'em, California authorities say.) Other "green" states, like Washington, have already announced their intention to follow suit, but we suspect that they, too, are in for a rude awakening.

"CA continues to lead the way," tweeted California Governor Gavin Newsom atop the following quote from The New York Times: "Experts said the new California rule, in both its stringency and reach, could stand alongside the Washington law as one of the world's most important climate change policies."

Hyperbole much? The EV manufacturers, though, are no better, no less demagogic when it comes to the climate issue. Get a load of the "About" page on the Rivian website: Today we're operating off hundreds of millions of years of accumulated plant- and animal-based carbon. On our current path, we will fully exhaust this stored energy in only a few generations and, in the process, carbonize our atmosphere to such a degree that life as we know it will not be possible. If the planet is to continue to sustain life and enchant future generations, we have to change.

Carbonizing our atmosphere sounds pretty serious. But we wonder: Do those Rivian batteries simply recharge themselves, or do they need an external energy source to do so? And what external energy source might that be? Kilowatts from a coal-fired power plant?

As for enchanting future generations, where do all those materials for an EV battery come from? Last we knew, those lithium batteries were made of aluminum, cobalt, graphite, and nickel — not the easiest materials to mine. As Fox Business's Charles Payne once noted, "We're gonna have to strip mine the entire planet to get those materials." He's not far off. "A single electric car battery weighing 1,000 pounds," writes Mark Mills at the Manhattan Institute, "requires extracting and processing some 500,000 pounds of materials. Averaged over a battery's life, each mile of driving an electric car 'consumes' five pounds of earth. Using an internal combustion engine consumes about 0.2 pounds of liquids per mile."

Beyond the environmental costs are the costs to individual liberty. EVs have maddening range limitations, and a government can far more easily control a populace driving only electrics. That's because the state can restrict the flow of electricity a lot more easily than it can control privately run gas stations.

Ultimately, we don't have a problem with EVs. But we do have a problem with the government picking winners and losers. The American people deserve to hear the pros and the cons, and they deserve to be able to make a fully informed decision. Otherwise, it's a rigged game.

The Left Is Misleading Us on EVs

Regulatory Commissars, Douglas Andrews, Patriot Post, September 14, 2022

There are pros and cons to electric vehicles, just as with everything else.

"It was the coolest vehicle I've ever experienced." That was a colleague's take on the Rivian R1T, a battery-powered truck that he had a chance to drive last weekend. He's an F-150 guy, but this EV got to him. You could hear it in his voice. He was most impressed with the other-worldly acceleration, which, frankly, can't be compared to any truck with an internal combustion engine and a transmission and a transfer case. That, in a nutshell, is the allure of an electric vehicle.

Unless, of course, you believe it's great for the environment and doesn't cost a thing to drive — both of which are lies.

In fact, there's plenty of downside to an EV. It's just that you won't hear any of it from Pete Buttigieg or Gavin Newsom or any of their leftist fellow-travelers, all of whom behave as if they've got stock in all the right car companies. As political analyst Mark Tapscott writes: There are a host of reasons why the Left is absolutely determined to force Americans out of their privately owned, gasoline-powered cars and trucks and into unreliable public transportation and costly Electric Vehicles (EVs), none of which have to do with "saving the environment."

The central reason the Left loves EVs is that the process of forcing Americans to convert to electric-powered transportation will destroy forever the incredible freedom and prosperity associated with privately owned gas-powered vehicles. The future will instead be centrally controlled by rich elitists and their corrupt politicians, power-hungry bureaucrats, and ideologically driven "experts."

Recently, the California Air Resources Board voted to go all in on EVs by phasing out the sales of gas-powered cars in the state by 2035. Knock yourselves out, we say, but good luck getting your state's already notoriously overburdened electrical grid to accommodate that EV pipe dream. (Buy 'em, just don't charge 'em, California authorities say.) Other "green" states, like Washington, have already announced their intention to follow suit, but we suspect that they, too, are in for a rude awakening.

"CA continues to lead the way," tweeted California Governor Gavin Newsom atop the following quote from The New York Times: "Experts said the new California rule, in both its stringency and reach, could stand alongside the Washington law as one of the world's most important climate change policies."

Hyperbole much? The EV manufacturers, though, are no better, no less demagogic when it comes to the climate issue. Get a load of the "About" page on the Rivian website: Today we're operating off hundreds of millions of years of accumulated plant- and animal-based carbon. On our current path, we will fully exhaust this stored energy in only a few generations and, in the process, carbonize our atmosphere to such a degree that life as we know it will not be possible. If the planet is to continue to sustain life and enchant future generations, we have to change.

Carbonizing our atmosphere sounds pretty serious. But we wonder: Do those Rivian batteries simply recharge themselves, or do they need an external energy source to do so? And what external energy source might that be? Kilowatts from a coal-fired power plant?

As for enchanting future generations, where do all those materials for an EV battery come from? Last we knew, those lithium batteries were made of aluminum, cobalt, graphite, and nickel — not the easiest materials to mine. As Fox Business's Charles Payne once noted, "We're gonna have to strip mine the entire planet to get those materials." He's not far off. "A single electric car battery weighing 1,000 pounds," writes Mark Mills at the Manhattan Institute, "requires extracting and processing some 500,000 pounds of materials. Averaged over a battery's life, each mile of driving an electric car 'consumes' five pounds of earth. Using an internal combustion engine consumes about 0.2 pounds of liquids per mile."

Beyond the environmental costs are the costs to individual liberty. EVs have maddening range limitations, and a government can far more easily control a populace driving only electrics. That's because the state can restrict the flow of electricity a lot more easily than it can control privately run gas stations.

Ultimately, we don't have a problem with EVs. But we do have a problem with the government picking winners and losers. The American people deserve to hear the pros and the cons, and they deserve to be able to make a fully informed decision. Otherwise, it's a rigged game.

A Climate Reality Check

Climate Change, Thomas Gallatin, September 12, 2022

News of the imminent demise of planet Earth has been greatly exaggerated

"The world is gonna end in 12 years if we don't address climate change, and your biggest issue is how are we gonna pay for it?" That's what hard-leftist Democrat Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez demanded to know in January 2019. Just a couple months prior, AOC had won her first general election after having surprisingly defeated six-term incumbent Joe Crowley in the Democrat primary. And here she was hitting Washington hard over its failure to take climate change seriously, a threat AOC declared would "destroy the planet" by 2031.

AOC is far from the only climate alarmist politician. Indeed, the Democrat Party has increasingly embraced this "green" agenda, always approaching the climate as "settled science" that requires near-immediate and unquestioned political action or else the planet is doomed. And yet these dire predictions of doom never seem to materialize, but we digress.

It is the climatic doom-and-gloom narrative that has placed Europe into its current energy crisis. Germany in particular, thanks to its aggressive Net Zero Emissions policies, is facing down the proverbial barrel of a gun, as Russia has shut off natural gas lines in retaliation for Germany's support of Ukraine. Germany is learning the hard way that renewable energy equates to severely limited and unreliable energy.

Meanwhile in California, where bureaucrats just enacted a future ban on the sale of new gas-powered vehicles, state authorities are urging electric vehicle owners to refrain from charging their cars due to inconsistent energy production from wind and solar.

However, according to the climate alarmists, all this inconvenience and pain is worth it because we're acting to "save the planet."

Does the planet need saving? Are things getting worse and worse? That's what we're told by climate alarmists such as John Kerry, who has expelled 9.5 million pounds of carbon flying all across the globe in his private jet to issue dire warnings.

For anyone who cares to take a look at the data, and not merely those computer-generated climate projections, there is more good news on the current condition of the planet than bad.

Let's start with the polar bears. Climate alarmists such as Al Gore, warning of the existential threat of climate change, used polar bears to highlight the melting polar ice caps. In the 1960s, there were fewer than 10,000 polar bears, but that number has more than doubled to 26,000 and is increasing. Evidently, shrinking ice hasn't been bad for the bears.

What about the weather? Every time a major natural disaster hits, it is inevitable that climate alarmists will assert that climate change is responsible for making these disasters more frequent and more costly. Again, the data simply does not back up these claims; in fact, it shows exactly the opposite. Since 1920, the number of people dying due to weather events has decreased significantly from roughly half a million annually to an average of 18,000 over the last decade.

The number of wildfires across the planet has also decreased over the century. Back in 1900, roughly 4.5% of the land burned annually from fires. By 2000, that percentage had decreased to 3.2%, and over the last two decades it has dropped to 2.5% even as global temperatures have increased. In short, less of the planet is burning every year.



A more recent alarmist claim has been the "dying" of the Great Barrier Reef. Its bleaching, alarmists contended, was due to climate change. Lo and behold, the Great Barrier Reef is far from dead, as this year scientists have witnessed that two-thirds of the reef is producing more coral than has ever been recorded. Records stretch back to 1985. Of course, that good news hardly got a mention in mainstream media.


Finally, human life expectancy rates across the globe over the last century have doubled — from 36 years in 1920 to 72 years today. Much of this is thanks to free-market capitalism: The number of people across the world in abject poverty has shrunk from three-quarters of humanity to less than a tenth of the world's population today. And the only thing threatening this positive development is the climate alarmists' policies.

Once again, the status of the overall health of planet Earth is far from dire. This is not a dying planet, nor is humanity writ large destroying it. Indeed, contrary to the climate alarmists' narrative, humanity has been a net benefit to the planet. Ironically, it's the "green" movements pushing the impossible, a world powered solely by renewable energy, that represent a greater threat to both the health of the planet and humanity than the fossil fuel industry ever has.



Thinking About FBI Raids






Being a little older, I am very fortunate to have someone call and check on me everyday. He is from India and is very concerned about my car warranty.


A friend suggested putting horse manure on my strawberries... I'm never doing that again, I'm going back to whipped cream.



Trade apprenticeship or soft science degree?



- BA IN PHILOSOPHY
- 100K STUDENT DEBT
- CAN'T FIND A PHILOSOPHY JOB
- BELIEVES PEOPLE WITHOUT COLLEGE DEGREES ARE STUPID



- PAID APPRENTICESHIP
- NO STUDENT DEBT
- EARNS \$80K / YEAR
- DISCONNECTED ADAM'S ELECTRICITY

Kerry J. Ledford, Ph.D.



**Community
Volunteer,
Newsletter Writer**



21921 Las Cimas Drive 210-656-1873
Garden Ridge, TX 78266 kledfordtx@gmail.com

Ernie Lee
830-822-8471
ernie.lee@live.com

2017 First Place
TEXAS
Suspenseful Fiction

coming soon
ERNE LEE
ERNE LEE
HIM

Audio 6 CD Set

Available on Aim-Hi Books
iTunes Pandora
Spotify YouTube
... and many more

WHERE THE WILD RICE GROWS
ERNE LEE

www.Aim-HiBooks.com
Available on Amazon



DONNA LEE
BOARD MEMBER

2631 Bulverde Rd. Ste. 105 210-723-5325
Bulverde, TX 78163
donnal@freedom2soar.org
www.freedom2soar.org

A Non-Profit Organization
501(c)(3)



kw HERITAGE
KELLERWILLIAMS.

The "Real" Don Johnson 42320 FM 3159
Broker Associate Canyon Lake, TX 78133

Direct: 830-660-1973
Fax: 830-632-3011
Don@RealDon.com
www.RealDon.com

Each Office Independently Owned & Operated



James "Rick" Walker, Ph. D.
Justice of the Peace, Pct. 2
Comal County, Texas

30470 Cougar Bend Office: (830) 387-7600
Bulverde, TX 78163 Cell: (832) 876-1954
Email walker@co.comal.tx.us Fax: (830) 438-3127

**Your ad could go here!
For only \$25 per year
you can have your ad
reach all of the members
of the RCCC.
What a good buy!
Send your ad to Kerry Ledford
at kledfordtx@gmail.com.**



JOHN M. YOUNG
(830) 609-1990 :: D
(210) 416-1440 :: C

- MEDICARE SUPPLEMENTS
- RETIREMENT PLANNING
- LIFE INSURANCE
- ANNUITIES

VISIONARY
CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

"PROTECTING AND EMPOWERING YOU FOR LIFE"

County of Comal



SCOTT HAAG
COMMISSIONER PCT. #2

County Courthouse
Mailing: 150 N. Seguin
Physical: 100 Main Plaza
New Braunfels, Texas 78130

Office: (830) 221-1102
Fax: (830) 620-5380
haagsc@co.comal.tx.us



Renewal _____
New _____

Precinct # _____
Date _____

Membership Application

Name: _____

Address: _____ City: _____ Zip: _____

Email: _____

Cell Phone: _____ Home Phone: _____

Spouse Name: _____ Spouse Phone: _____

Occupation: _____ Spouse Occupation: _____

Family: \$35 _____ Individual: \$25 _____ Patron: \$100 _____

Available to Volunteer

- _____ Legislative Liaison _____ Refreshments _____ Programs
- _____ Events _____ Training _____ Election Clerk
- _____ Clerical/Data Entry _____ Phone Bank _____ Fundraising
- _____ Media/Letters to Editors

Mail to: RCCC Treasurer, 265 Landa St., New Braunfels, TX 78130

Website: rccc.org